IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE SIXTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

-

WRIT PETITION NO : 9483 of 2004

Between:

Smt. B. Rajeswari W/o Jayaram Naidu, aged about 35 yrs,
R/o Kandali Village, Gudur Mandal Nellore District

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The State Transport Appellate Tribunal Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad

rep by Presiding Officer
2 The Regional Transport Authority, Nellore rep by Secretary
3 The Regional Manager, APSRTC., Nellore

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction declaring the order of the 1st respondent passed in M.P. No. 128/2004 in R.P. No. 150/2004 dated 20-5-2004 as illegal and arbitrary.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.O.MANOHER REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents 1 and 2: THE GP FOR TRANSPORT

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: MR.K.SRINIVAS RAO, S.C. FOR APSRTC

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Heard both sides. At their request, the main writ petition itself is taken up for disposal.

The petitioner herein filed this writ petition aggrieved by the orders passed by the first respondent in M.P.No.128 of 2004 in R.P.No.150 of 2004, dated 20-05-2004. Against the said impugned orders, this court granted status quo order on 01-06-2004 in W.P.M.No.12128 of 2004.

Having heard the learned counsel for both the parties and upon perusal of the record, it is seen that the substantive revision is pending before the first respondent. In view of the same, it is not necessary to go into the merits of this case. Suffice to direct first respondent to dispose of the revision pending before him. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of directing the first respondent to dispose of the revision within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order or immediately on the next date of hearing, whichever is earlier. It is needless to mention that the interim orders of status quo granted by this Court shall continue to remain in force till disposal of the case. No order as to costs.

_____

06-08-2004.

Lrkm.

To

1. The Presiding Officer, State Transport Appellate Tribunal Andhra

Pradesh, Hyderabad

2. The Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Nellore.
3. The Regional Manager, APSRTC., Nellore

4.. 2CD copies

5. Two CCs to GP for Transport, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad (O.U.T)